

Titel: Propositions, [Nice1951] 046-0080

Citation: "Propositions, [Nice1951] 046-0080", i *Louis Hjelmslev og hans kreds*, s. 3.  
Onlineudgave fra Louis Hjelmslev og hans kreds: [https://tekster.kb.dk/text/lh-texts-kapsel\\_046-shoot-wacc-1992\\_0005\\_046\\_Nice1951\\_0080\\_p3\\_bP2\\_TB00002.pdf](https://tekster.kb.dk/text/lh-texts-kapsel_046-shoot-wacc-1992_0005_046_Nice1951_0080_p3_bP2_TB00002.pdf) (tilgået 02. august 2024)

Anvendt udgave: Louis Hjelmslev og hans kreds

Ophavsret: Materialet kan være ophavsretligt beskyttet, og så må du kun bruge det til personlig brug. Hvis ophavsmanden er død for mere end 70 år siden, er værket fri af ophavsret (public domain), og så kan du bruge værket frit. Hvis der er flere ophavsmænd, gælder den længstlevendes dødsår. Husk altid at kreditere ophavsmanden.

3/\*51 ?n#tan«® In many system« the wel may be defined, as against the consonant, \*y Its son-  
preaupposltlon of another wit \*» a syntactic do- finit las - O" by lto acoustic features, in the Trubetskoy on  
stylo), '•hose semantic units have not yet veen isolated from the speech\* continuum, ot because this is  
impossible, nor even because it is diffi«\* cult, but rather because the climate of structural 11 pieties is oppo-  
sed to the ".usstioo being raised, hen these untie have been isolated it rill be found that they haw their own  
syntax, and that they have their own syntax, and that they haw their tøfinit lone In terms of this syntax j that  
they are define tie extenslonally «• well as intonsiomlly, ctk\*. have a structure worth Investigating for its own  
sake, (4) The structural definition of semantic relations, ' iructurai semantics ls gøv vmå by the -ausc .general  
principle« as phone- las, and in par1 lcnlar by this principle of relevance, "fence if two connected semantic  
\*«r it#\*1 stand in complementary 'lotribution (thue never answering to a distinction of expression) they  
must be reyarded as variants of a single semantic wit, For instance the relations t'possessor of (an object)1'  
and"agent of (a process)" between which the difference is automatically regulated by the meanings of the  
semantemes to which the relation applics, are varia ta of the sans semantic unit, (Both variants occur i  
nominal combinations\* only the second in actor-action phrases, and so on, H is not of course asserted that  
these are the only relations holding between the terms in :oration). The structure of semantic relations, apart  
from their complexity, 1© similar to that of phonic relations, Jience the earns terms can tø used, and  
illustrated first from the phonemic plane, where we haw three principle relations \* (1) Two asymmetric  
relitions (ArS ls incompatible with RrA) t (ft) A relation with equipollent poles l &<:. nence. (Equipollent,  
sine© an isolated unit is both before and aftey aero, (b) A relation with privatively contrasted poles i  
prominence (usually actualised by stress-differences m fctetween svffa-lee, or by differences of syllabicity as  
between phonemes), (?ri- vative, since an isolated unit i \*mors prominent than\* the surrounding zeros), (ii)  
Ones symmetric relation t Juncture (open, closed ©to,) (ArB-OrA)• Juncture seen« always to be privative i m  
isolated unit has open Juncture with surrounding zero, (Hence open Juncture is the u marked pole of the  
opposition, iv© return to the semantic relation cited above. This relation is obviously asymmetri«\* It can also  
be described as primitive, since the terra rast normally in isolation (e.g, impersonal v rbe) arc proceaalve and  
not agental, other terra being neither\* The relation thus comes the heading of prominence" • (Though its  
definition is purely structural, the term answer© well ©rough to our instinctive feeling that the pro- dlcate is  
more prominent than the subject, that the object possessed rather than the posoeoaoor is the \*centre of  
attention\* in -enitlval constructions, sad so on. But it mot be not d again < hat such terns as predicate and  
genitive do no belong to this level of analysis% they have semantic relevance but no ..©mantle status), ut it  
ls obvious that a to m such as prominence is insufficient