

Titel: BREV TIL: Eli Fischer-Jørgensen FRA: Charles Ernest Bazell (1957-02-26)

Citation: "BREV TIL: Eli Fischer-Jørgensen FRA: Charles Ernest Bazell (1957-02-26)", i *Louis Hjelmslev og hans kreds*, s. 1. Onlineudgave fra Louis Hjelmslev og hans kreds: [https://tekster.kb.dk/text/lh-texts-kapsel\\_004-shoot-workidacc-2005\\_0099\\_004\\_EFJ-Bazell\\_0070.pdf](https://tekster.kb.dk/text/lh-texts-kapsel_004-shoot-workidacc-2005_0099_004_EFJ-Bazell_0070.pdf) (tilgået 20. juli 2024)

Anvendt udgave: Louis Hjelmslev og hans kreds

Ophavsret: Materialet kan være ophavsretligt beskyttet, og så må du kun bruge det til personlig brug. Hvis ophavsmanden er død for mere end 70 år siden, er værket fri af ophavsret (public domain), og så kan du bruge værket frit. Hvis der er flere ophavsmænd, gælder den længstlevendes dødsår. Husk altid at kreditere ophavsmanden.

*Louis Hjelmslev og hans kreds,*

"S

Istanbul 26 February 1957

Dear Fischer-Jeggens en,

Yes, as you guess, it is Firth's old chair. However I do not take this over until next academic year. So please send any offprints or what-not to the old address. I believe by the way that I sent a review of Whatmough's book? Whetmough replied with a letter of 130 lines, about four months later, saying among other things that I had obviously never heard of American structural linguistics! Also that the charge of tautology was irrelevant, since all scientific statements are tautologies, which was the product of four months' thought. Hockett's book seems to be having a rough time of it: my own review was amiable compared with Hunt's, and even Halle's. Lunt was indeed hardly fair. He could have criticised Hockett's view that there is often little to choose between one interpretation and another, but he had no right to criticise him for accepting the consequences of this Xé/// view, without attacking the view itself?

Yours sincerely