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Deur ${ }^{\circ}$ teve, $X^{\prime}$ 'hank you ver s ocD or "end in,. me various diopters of your $b>$ and $r^{\wedge}$ c fitly the cbop+e1'" or ,glo- era tier, and exe« e me for not bovin,? reacted to it. reason is that I have been fcerrib- ly bury io - Lhr lost tw y an..- • bu ;y n try T i ""e. tvben I ret ■ ed I thought that X would hove plenty o tire, and I accepted all nvitat lons to contribu e to .featsc hift-v lu, 3 tripe? ia etc. i ith the result that 1 have hardly tied a in' tiu $\square$ e free. - This summer- -was particularly hard, but $X$ finally decided to go on holiday for a month at the end of epteber. I got y ur chap er or plosso $<>$ at I as the day before leaving1, o X. put it into the -suitcase, but X had to loo'^ various thin s up, so I was not able to answer tmtil now, I think you have done a very good job. It is very difficult to write about gloasenatics, but your account is very clear, and. your criticism convincing, I have only a few remarks, - ( ■'■here i a hum er of misprints, but $X$ think you have found their, yourself ),

X
nve, in fact , never been so
$€>$ development
As foi' the first '• $\quad$ or hi torical part on of glossemat* cs -X can only agree. As far ai? I can see you hove based if -rt ly $n$ my ob* inari < etc. - Th re 1 rnl y one point x-f ore $X$ disagree completely - ^ p. 217? 1. you mention rue t- ret or with nienae Was. Verne and Jespei. X ma ly do not belong* inthat c.npnnyl I have ot made nuy' centr > but ions to ling is :ics at all* hove written sore critical papers on phonology, which are rather clear, but nog original, and X hsve contribu ed to the history of phonolo- t5, at n'l\# - You c Id mention lots oi' Danish linguists

To re. below, hoi ger $\quad$ ‘‘eder' on
fry, who who have given much more important contributions $t$ Sand- fed $t r, a l$, Hide ch en, $\mid 0>. e b y$, Lech, gerod, iXisolabl. plea e, leave me out I(Eut it was nice of you). - Ey the waylljelmsliv'rf books have been translated Into various la*if'ua es in Sot ern Europe during the latter years, ( ranch, It liars. Spanish, *vu anian etc.), jo t ere is, in fact $i$ an inter- st in his t eori s, som« what more than ajpears from xvbat you say on p. 216,

P;~21 X am more inclined to a ree wit Diderich en. Of course Rask knexv that Innguage changes, ^ut he did not distingwii clearly between typological end enetic relat ns ip. p.23o ff y u use the term realization, where Hjel«slev^said manifestation, X thin1: y • • u rko- $1 \ll 1$..ticir t mani fo»t at ion. t/jelme lev very caef ully avoided the tern realizati>n in this connec- t ion.
ini' you say fat Hidal 1 he a succession of positions in Sndon, Arge tine etc. $x$ a not urc that lie hod any position in $\quad$ L/ondon. I think he only stay: $d$ there for a sl orttirae, -1- can
.224
E
i
a sic Betsy - p,239 begin- in of' lost paragraph, $q$-vite correct. Accord ' $n$ to the Résumé? ta semes are the last units you arrive at in the partic lar analysis. But y u may thel c ntinee mnkin an univ $r$ a analysis, dissolving the taxenes into prime factors, ca ' led glossernes ( $w$ ' 1 ch are designated by the Greek letter A o4_ to. Exr> ssi ?n glossernes are calif
i

I do not think tii

2
cenemes and content g"ossetnea are called pierernes,inso far as they are constituents, not pro codecs*? a. ue has used the terms cenernes and pierer os somewhat moreloesely earlier, but still It ink the be t tbiup would be to omit He n es "coner stxc" and pi. rerratic" units more gener<ly for express-*' n a -d content unit -, - ( probably "unit Is not the right go e -tic ter- either ) it has a more spec:if?c meaning and he talks about pleres -t cs nd cenemat i os -- taut -- I know ■** have usd cenemes and t;7 or ernes In my phi ology book in two pie $C x, f$ s acc rd'ng to $1>$ ea li $r$ and later use, which is confusing', * are not $r-i n$ and con . ant. aseu.es
only for con titr nts. $\mathrm{t} q$ terms.
in any a e vt 'xeiji.?3 are a ptoxmtely of' the p. 2ko 'T ' 1 cene~ es^
sa*"e s * ze as ph nei.es, tt.pt. lu; lev divided the expression elemei ee >rrf c lanse •• of rules: distributional al§?r T'
${ }^{\wedge}$ ut this is not glo.
clustering, alter at rs and implication, matics. This . st-e d:«vi:: 'in he made in the paper from 1935 « In 1 i 'os e atc de cr:tpti sn he A'«tint grouped the ex ression taxemes into categories accord $u$ to di. tributional clustering, - JTixhixxKHX»rr'-pr pul sfrJtxwtritn^atxhe .;d rxx .edxevgv. t rie c .mxv.nm.niiB intcriw f. 11 a $\pm$

'SchHXJBKHxtitaHMtrtxx. Th " ther, niter" are dv ded into vowels and conson nts as selected and select?n, ( plus bot: and- and neither -rior.)JLhc consonon.s are further divided txtx according to $t \square$ ir possibility of be'ng initial and final • c !.o e , to the vowel or not ( see his e-crj.pt on of the French systen;/• but this* is the "popular" version. In the strict theory it was a quest 1 or of deter i ati $n$ el c. pres positions of^^liffe kinds.
 are extensive.
ren
p. $244,1.11$ rom below $r b: h$ where $f$ ha 7 b nd $p$ do not contrast $i$ at least after* $s>$ orant $c$ nsonant)" $I$ do not under- stand $\mathrm{t} i>$. ansih pt; are bdg do not c ntrøst - nelly o redial b fo e ..chwa, :i. e. what H j. would c^1 in c.yl loble final politiet, '-'hi : i true jjszpz i reapect ve of the preceding taxeme. The i ,,e^;^ay \>e a contrast in a con ervative norm a - ter a som rant conrrawt p'u.i schwa, e.g. ve den v<rten, pu pe, bor. le ms.ni feste mainly in the length of the sonorant, and more voicing in the consonant - kul so just af $r$ sonorant there may be a distinction ,but only i ax conservative norm. - "hat were you thinking of ?
p. 246
, -9 Here you seem to $h$ ve written "form" in stead of "expression',' as opposed to content
p.247, 1.12 iron belcw voice;!, read voiceless, but better aspirated st ops, since $t$ ere i no contrastof vetoing in Danish stops, 4 eXefore you should not talk either o a rule that would "devoice " stops in the vicinity of h" - The justificati $n$ of an analysis of banish asoiratel st $s$ into stop plus $h$ seems ratiierobviou to me Since i'e only difference between pile and bile is the upirat; $a, i \pm$ and since $h$ is cund as an Independen $j$ consonant in Danish, i* i - very te pt'rr to analyse p n b + h. \{ this is what * s also often done in simple plien tic transcript! i of Danish: oils written bhi si, "*ut, cou.se, when iAj elms levs write? hb hehas 1 ft substa tial motivations.
p. 248 ,5 from below. A'-a in I do not like the ter "Voiced .top plus h, " Hie American habit of ca ling ptk voiceless and bdg vo oicel pi and voiced as la' els for ptk and bdg, is rather confusing -<nd make it more difficult to unders tand th analysis. ~ in loanwords
wed, i e. u • •* n r
Mo .over hjelmslev add ced cases of alternations, e.g. lak lakere, il.-. ilagh?
257
j Iro 1 v do 3 not treat stress as a ela i:i nal property be w on sy i lables" Thi« 1. find soi ewhat mi 'leading accents Kxixdsf nKd'byx as exronetPs ar def $n<d$ by directi
ft IX
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p. $2=58$
lo trom be ow, $X \&$ not q. 1te $\square$ re wl $\square \mathrm{ty}$ u mean by ti> © en ence $\bullet^{\prime}$ Aetna $1>y$, sirn-e d,je tredve -itv ara to count
etc
v-

I
-- X il s'»ov tbi chapter to xVi cteel. Perhaps ho pay "••o ve < me o iiient s. ^ hope to h. ve time to read the rest of you $r$ manu- script soon, at $t$ e Into• $\bullet$ in January.
llest regards
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