

Titel: booklet of notes on Hjelmslev's work, [whitfield] 014-0010

Citation: "booklet of notes on Hjelmslev's work, [whitfield] 014-0010", i *Louis Hjelmslev og hans kreds*, s. 5. Onlineudgave fra Louis Hjelmslev og hans kreds:
https://tekster.kb.dk/catalog/lh-texts-kapsel_014-shoot-wacc-2014_0144_014_whitfield_0010_p5_bP4_TB00003/facsimile.pdf (tilgået 27. juli 2024)

Anvendt udgave: Louis Hjelmslev og hans kreds

Ophavsret: Materialet kan være ophavsretligt beskyttet, og så må du kun bruge det til personlig brug. Hvis ophavsmanden er død for mere end 70 år siden, er værket fri af ophavsret (public domain), og så kan du bruge værket frit. Hvis der er flere ophavsmænd, gælder den længstlevendes dødsår. Husk altid at kreditere ophavsmanden.

THE MEAT-GRINDER (Notes on Structure of language...)

The SYSTEM is the specific form units which the CATEGORY is realized in a given language, that form is defined by the number of the terms and by the correlations that they contract among themselves. (66)

A PARADOXICAL problem (67) - can lead to the PARADOXICAL problem of possible and necessary changes in production

Precise terms and vague terms (65)

Korcenkij's opposition between contrary terms (to which may be added a neutral) makes it completely contrary which is contrasted positive and which negative. (67)

The moment a linguistic opposition is transformed into a logical opposition:

for a 2-term system, the opposition must be transformed into an opposition between contrary or between contradictory terms

for a 3-term system, it must be transformed into a system with two contrary terms

as this can be generalized: contrary opposition (67-68)

Potkenkij and Korcenkij complement each other (66)

One must find a logical point de repère (69)

The vague term does not lack a meaning; it lacks a precise meaning (70)

In dealing with the Russian imperatives, Jakobson compares *longue* and *peuple* (74)

Only the form admits anti-correlations, not if these are used only under certain stylistic conditions (74)

Jakobson's *Multivalency* correlation, which is a complex correlation between the 2nd and 3rd imperatives and the 2nd plural of the (generalized) imperative imperatives (73)

The difference between *obnèze* and *obnèz* is that between inclusive and exclusive - hence: person (72)

It is inadequate to imagine the grammatical system as a hierarchy, rather a network of cross-cutting categories (75)

Importance of possible operations (80)

Analysis by dimensions contrasted by subdomains (81)

Synonymy and antonymy are two complementary faces of correlation, there is also opposition - showing that terms belong to a single category, and serving as units to decide which term is intrinsic and which extrinsic. (82)